

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING | G DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/445,112 | 02/17/2000 | | HEINRICH JURGENSEN | P99.2405 | 9473 |
| 7 | 590 | 09/30/2003 | | | |
| Schiff Hardin | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Patent Department 7100 Sears Tower | | | | FLORES RUIZ, DELMA R | |
| Chicago, IL 60606-64 | | | | . ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2828 | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 09/30/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | · · | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application N . | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Symmony | 09/445,112 | JURGENSEN, HEINRICH | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Delma R. Flores Ruiz | 2828 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 | <u>August 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-93</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | 0 | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-39</u> is/are rejected. | | Paulis | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | PAUL IP | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application Papers TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | | miner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | ply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received in Applicat | ion No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | · | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro | ovisional application has been rec | ceived. | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes Attachment(s) | tic priority under 35 U.S.C. 99 120 | J and/UL 121. | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29 – 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minns et al (5,966,490) in view of Brodsky (6,489,985).

Regarding claim 29 Minns discloses a method for reducing pump light in a region of a laser light exit of a laser resonator fiber, comprising the steps of; providing said laser resonant fiber (see Fig. 1 Character 12) as comprising a fiber core (see Fig. 2, Character 34) surrounded by a pump fiber comprising an inner fiber portion which in turn is surrounded by a sheath (see Fig. 2, Characters 36 and 38); and at a last section of said pump fiber preceding said laser light exit, removing at least a portion of the sheath to allow substantial remaining pump light to escape from the pump fiber and thus to reduce pump light from being emitted with the laser light at said laser light exit (see Figs. 1 – 4, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 19 – 22, Column 2, Lines 20 – 25, Column 3,

Art Unit: 2828

Lines 45 - 58, Column 4, Lines 4 - 49, Column 6, Lines 50 - 56, Column 7, Lines 1 - 67, Column 8, Lines 1 - 6, 33 - 43, and Column 10, Lines 1 - 47). Minns discloses the claimed invention except for pump light. It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Brodsky of teaching a pump light with laser because the laser is used to give light to the optica fiber, which the light passes atravez of the fiber and is reflected to the other side.

Regarding claim 30 Minns discloses a step of at least partially stripping said laser section of said sheath (see Figs. 1 – 4, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 19 – 22, Column 2, Lines 20 – 25, Column 3, Lines 45 – 58, Column 4,Lines 4 – 49, Column 6, Lines 50 – 56, Column 7, Lines 1 – 67, Column 8, Lines 1 – 6, 33 – 43, and Column 10, Lines 1 – 47).

Regarding claim 31 and 32, Minns discloses a sheath is entirely stripped away at said last section and manufacture of said last section only at least a part of said sheath is provided thereon (see Figs. 1 – 4, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 19 – 22, Column 2, Lines 20 – 25, Column 3, Lines 45 – 58, Column 4, Lines 4 – 49, Column 6, Lines 50 – 56, Column 7, Lines 1 – 67, Column 8, Lines 1 – 6, 33 – 43, and Column 10, Lines 1 - 47).

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claim 33 – 35, Minns discloses a manufacture of said last section no sheath is provided thereon at all and said sheath such that a diameter thereof tapers in wedge-like fashion toward said light exit in a region of said last section and removing at least the portion of said sheath at sad section by etching (see Figs. 1 – 4, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 19 – 22, Column 2, Lines 20 – 25, Column 3, Lines 45 – 58, Column 4, Lines 4 – 49, Column 6, Lines 50 – 56, Column 7, Lines 1 – 67, Column 8, Lines 1 – 6, 33 – 43, and Column 10, Lines 1 – 47).

Regarding claim 36, Minns discloses a fiber laser, comprising; a laser fiber core (see Fig. 2, Character 34) as a laser resonator surrounded by a pump fiber comprising an inner fiber portion (see Fig. 2, Character 36) which in turn is surrounded by an outer sheath (see Fig. 2, Character 38), and at a last section of the pump fiber leading to said light exit said sheath being at least partially removed to allow substantial remaining pump light to escape from the pump fiber and thus to reduce pump light emitted with laser light at said laser light exit (see Figs. 1 – 4, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 19 – 22, Column 2, Lines 20 – 25, Column 3, Lines 45 – 58, Column 4,Lines 4 – 49, Column 6, Lines 50 – 56, Column 7, Lines 1 – 67, Column 8, Lines 1 – 6, 33 – 43, and Column 10, Lines 1 - 47). Minns discloses the claimed invention except for pump light. It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Brodsky of teaching a pump light with laser because the laser is used to give light to the optica fiber, which the light passes atravez of the fiber and is reflected to the other side.

. .

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claim 37 – 39, Minns discloses a shealth at said last section enirely removed, at a region of said last section said shealth tapers in a wedge-like fashion toward said light exit and last section said sheath is remove compeltely and an outer portion of sai inner fiber portion is roughened where said sheath id completely removed leading to said laser light exit, (see Figs. 1 – 4, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 19 – 22, Column 2, Lines 20 – 25, Column 3, Lines 45 – 58, Column 4,Lines 4 – 49, Column 6, Lines 50 – 56, Column 7, Lines 1 – 67, Column 8, Lines 1 – 6, 33 – 43, and Column 10, Lines 1 – 47).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delma R. Flores Ruiz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6238. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

Jelma R Flores Ruiz

Examiner Art Unit 2828

DRFR/PI

September 11, 2003

Paul Ip
Supervisor Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2828